

# **Survey results for IPENZ Transportation Conference 2010**

**How would you rate this years conference as a  
“total experience”?**

**1 = Poor 3 = Average 5 = Excellent**

**4.33**

# How would you rate the organisation of this years conference overall

1 = Poor 3 = Average 5 = Excellent

4.72

# How would you rate Michele A'Court, MC?

1 = Poor 3 = Average 5 = Excellent

4.31

# How would you rate having the conference in March compared to November?

1 = Poor 3 = Average 5 = Excellent

4.54

**Was there the right amount of time to question presenters at the Conference?**

**10 people thought there was too little time  
37 people stated the right amount of time**

# How useful would you rate the Poster Session section of the conference compared to lectern presentations

1 = Poor 3 = Average 5 = Excellent

4.17

# **Was there the right amount of time to view the poster boards?**

**18 people thought there was too little time  
30 people stated the right amount of time**

**Was there the right amount of time for questions with the poster presenters?**

**14 people thought there was too little time  
28 people stated the right amount of time**

# Please rate the accommodation

**1 = Poor 3 = Average 5 = Excellent**

Copthorne Durham Street	3.56
Copthorne Central	4.14
Crowne Plaza	4.50
Focus Motels	5.00

## Please rate the Christchurch Town Hall on the following areas?

	Number responded	Average
Conference rooms	50	4.14
Food for morning and afternoon tea and lunches	50	4.20
Audio Visual support for speakers	51	4.43

## Please rate the Technical tour

**1 = Poor 3 = Average 5 = Excellent**

North to Pegasus	3.93
Airport and CAPTIF	4.36
Port Tour	3.50

## Please rate the Welcome Function

1 = Poor 3 = Average 5 = Excellent

	Number responded	Average
Tug Boat from Lyttelton	34	4.35
<b>Harbour Light</b>		
Venue	31	3.58
Food	31	3.65
Entertainment	32	3.72

**IPENZ Transportation Conference 14 - 17 March, 2010 - Christchurch**

## Please rate the Conference Dinner

**1 = Poor 3 = Average 5 = Excellent**

	Number responded	Average
<b>Christchurch Art Gallery</b>		
Venue	48	4.63
Food	48	4.56
Entertainment	48	4.33

## Please rate the Key Note Presenters

**1 = Poor 3 = Average 5 = Excellent**

Sue Wells	3.43
Hon. Nathan Guy	3.68
Margo Perpick	3.83
Malcolm Douglass	4.32
Elizabeth Anderson	3.59
Nigel Cass	4.31

**Did we cater for all your requirements?**

**48 responded with**

**46 people saying YES**

**Was the information leading up to the conference  
sufficient in detail?**

**45 responded  
with 43 saying **YES****

**IPENZ Transportation Conference 14 - 17 March, 2010 - Christchurch**

## What did we do well?

Organisation very good  
Conference dinner was excellent  
Looked after us well but unobtrusively  
Mini programme great  
Kept me informed  
Interesting Sessions  
Food  
Tours and Entertainment were excellent  
Conference Facilities and food also excellent.  
Smooth organisation  
Nice conference bag  
Good communication prior to conference  
Team building was a great idea.  
Michelle was excellent  
The arrangement was great, down to the little details.  
Overall feel - it ran well and had enough different ideas

Entertainment  
Welcome Tug Boat  
Technical Tour  
Keeping to time  
Exhibition booths  
Oodles of pens  
Introducing poster presentations  
Well set up  
Social Functions and general seamless organisation  
On-site organisation  
Friendly and proactive team  
Helpful attitude  
Good information  
Very well organised  
Conference organisation and venue  
Communication, media facilities

## **IPENZ Transportation Conference 14 - 17 March, 2010 - Christchurch**

### **What could we do better?**

More time for questions

Group the presentations better so daily registration is a realistic option

Poster streams would be even better so that poster presenters get to discuss other posters

Bigger screen

Ensure that presentations conform to your guidelines, 22pt font etc

Slightly fewer presentations with more opportunity for discussions, possible debate session

Lighting in conference room made it hard to read the presentations Reduce glare of bright lights

Explain difference between informal remit and tech paper better

Better partner programme

The price for partners attending the conference dinner must come down - Significantly

The choice of Papers for presentations a little surprising/ disappointing - some of the poster presentations would have been much better

Cut speakers off after 2/3 of their time (with adequate advance notice)

Leave Posters up for the duration of the conference

For the team building have team home bases

Conference timing, better if every 18 months

20 minute presentations

Welcome function - venue too small and entertainment too taxing for a relaxing evening

Longer for the Poster session 1.5 hours

## **IPENZ Transportation Conference 14 - 17 March, 2010 - Christchurch**

### **What could we do better?**

More poster presentations parallel sessions

Don't start sessions so early 8.30 start

Not exciting papers

Many posters were much more interesting especially the variety and mix of issues

Too many non traffic papers

More focus on local authorisation

The AGM and speakers not using mics - more council speakers and experiences

Photos up on big screen @ 8.15 for quick scroll

Papers very modelling orientated. Little road safety and few basic imp's / reports

Tech papers too long - 20min presentations are long enough

Need to consider alternatives to having all papers printed and bound - too much paper

Those who arrived Monday needed fuller briefing - expectations of presenters - supply of data/ where to sit etc.

& delegates - e.g. the puzzle exercise was a bit of a puzzle to me.

Would like to go back to having more remits with discussion in smaller parallel streams

Less paper issue papers electronically

Provide guidelines to presenters on how to present (i.e. no monotone try not to cover too much material)

Need to encourage more presentations from councils

**IPENZ Transportation Conference 14 - 17 March, 2010 - Christchurch**

**Do you expect to attend next year?**

Out of 45 who responded, 38 said **YES**.

**Would you recommend this conference to others ?**

Out of 44 who responded, 100% said **YES**.