

ABSTRACT

Currently there is global focus to increase the use of alternative modes of transport, particularly the use of Public Transport (PT). Part of this change is to improve accessibility. If the PT modal share is to be increased, the transport authorities, transport planners and other professionals need to ensure the service is available to as many people as possible.

To enable measurement and monitoring of PT, quality of service and accessibility ratings allow local authorities to define the level of service available to users at various times and locations. To date measuring PT accessibility in New Zealand has been limited. This paper outlines a proposed methodology for assessing PT accessibility to work places in the Auckland CBD from the surrounding areas with the aim of mapping the CBD area according to calculated PT accessibility levels.

In addition to providing information on PT service levels, it is expected this information can be used in planning to influence decisions around land use, travel demand/supply management schemes and planning regulations such as minimum or maximum parking requirements as well as being a useful tool to help predict modal share from new developments.

AIMS

- The aims of this research project are:
- To propose a suitable methodology for measuring public transport accessibility to employment destinations in Auckland.
 - To test the methodology by applying it to the Auckland CBD and to produce a PT accessibility map of the Auckland CBD area.
 - To investigate ways in which this information can be used in developing policies aimed at increasing the PT modal share and reducing congestion during peak times.

REFERENCES

Abley and Williams, (2008), Public Transport Accessibility Levels, Conference paper presented at the IPENZ Transportation Conference 2010 and Beyond, New Plymouth, NZ.
 Auckland City Council (2004), District Plan – Central Area, Auckland, NZ – Policy Document.
 City of Sydney Council (2005), Accessibility and Rates of Car Parking provision Final Report, Sydney, Australia – Draft Policy Document.
 Gent and Symonds (2005), Advances in Public Transport Accessibility Assessments for Development Control – a Proposed Methodology, London, UK – Academic paper.
 Kittleston and Associates (2003), 2nd Edition, Transit Capacity and Quality of Service Manual, TCP/R Report 100, Transportation Research Board, USA – Best Practice Guidebook.
 Martens and Griethuysen (1996), The ABC location Policy in the Netherlands The Right business at the right place, Netherlands – Academic paper.
 Queensland Department of Main Roads (2005), Land Use and Public Transport Accessibility Index, http://www.transport.qld.gov.au/Home/Projects_and_initiatives/Projects/Land_use_public_transport_accessibility_index/ (11/10/2009)
 Rood (1997), The Local Index of Transit Availability An Implementation Manual, Local Government Commission, California – Academic paper.
 Rhyus, Ausman, Teaf, Cooper and Knoblauch (2000), Transportation Research Record 1731, USA – Academic paper.
 Transport for London (2003), PTAL Methodology, London, UK – Best practice guidelines.


THE METHODOLOGY – Potentially Accessible Working Population (PAWP) Index

PT Network and Timetables




Census Meshblock Boundaries and Working Population Figures

Potentially Accessible Working Population (PAWP) Index




1. Identify destination PT service points within the specified walking distance of the study area.



2. Identify the PT routes arriving at the destination service points within the morning peak. Then identify all origin PT service points along those routes accessed within a 30 minute travel time.

Census Meshblock Boundaries




3. Match the origin PT service point locations with census meshblock boundaries and working population (WP) figures for each meshblock.

$$\text{PAWP Value} = \text{Sum of WP Figures} \times \text{Frequency of PT service}$$

4. Calculate PAWP value for the study area.

THE RESULTS – Mapping PAWP Values of the Auckland CBD





PAWP Values are classified into five categories ranging from 'Very Highly Accessible to Zero ie no PT service points within the specified walking distance.

1. The Auckland CBD area is generally accessible by public transport with very few areas outside of a ten minute walk of an inbound PT service point.
2. The 'Wynyard Quarter' area (north west currently has the lowest potential to be accessed via PT.
3. Accessibility to PT is not solely centred around the Britomart Transport Centre with other areas in the southern side of the central CBD resulting in higher PAWP values than Britomart.
4. There is generally an east/west bias in favour of the east which although related, cannot be solely explained by the general split in population distribution.

To incorporate the potential for PT transfers within the CBD 'Local PT' Routes are overlaid eg 'Link' and 'City Circuit'.

5. The existing local PT routes do little to ease the east/west bias.



SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

The results illustrate that the PAWP index provides a suitable methodology for measuring public transport accessibility to employment destinations. The methodology has been tested using the Auckland CBD as a case study and found to produce significant results.

Some recommendations to increase the accuracy of the methodology include the incorporation of a detailed pedestrian network dataset, consideration of local PT transfers at the destination end of the trip and consideration of park and ride facilities at the origin end.

Even without minor improvements, it is considered that the potential uses of such a methodology are wide and varied. Initial investigations show the results being of particular relevance to PT route planning, land use planning and proposals, parking management plans and work place travel plans.

It is considered PT accessibility should have an important influence on the future transport and land use planning of New Zealand's urban centres, and the results of this research provide a significant contribution to this work.

POTENTIAL USES

- PT route planning
- Land use planning
- Assessing potential modal splits
- Parking management plans and policy
- Work place travel plans.